Calcification reduction and recovery in native and non-native Mediterranean corals in response to ocean acidification
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A B S T R A C T

In recent years, some of the ramifications of the ocean acidification problematic derived from the anthropogenic rising of atmospheric CO2 have been widely studied. In particular, the potential effects of a lowering pH on tropical coral reefs have received special attention. However, only a few studies have focused on testing the effects of ocean acidification in corals from the Mediterranean Sea, despite the fact that this basin is especially sensitive to increasing atmospheric CO2. In this context, we investigated the response to ocean acidification of the two zooxanthellate coral species capable of constituting the main framework of the community, the endemic Cladocora caespitosa and the non-native Oculina patagonica. To this end, we examined the response of both species to pCO2 concentrations expected by the end of the century, 800 ppm, vs the present levels. Calcification rate measurements after 92 days of exposure to low pH conditions showed the same negative response in both species, a decrease of 32–35% compared to corals reared under control conditions. In addition, we detected in both species a correlation between the calcification rate of colonies in control conditions and the degree of impairment of the same colonies at low pH. Independent of species, faster growing colonies were more affected by decreased pH. After this period of decreased pH, we conducted a recovery experiment, in which corals reared in the acidic treatment were brought back to control conditions. In this case, normal calcification rates were reached in both species. Overall, our results suggest that O. patagonica and C. caespitosa will both be affected detrimentally by progressive ocean acidification in the near future. They do not display differences in response between native and non-native species but do manifest differential responses depending on calcification rate, pointing to a role of the coral genetics in determining the response of corals to ocean acidification.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A significant fraction of the anthropogenic CO2 released to the atmosphere is being absorbed by the oceans (Canadell et al., 2007; Sabine et al., 2004), causing unprecedented changes in its chemical state and alterations in the physiology of a wide variety of marine organisms (Pelejero et al., 2010). Models predict that ocean pH will decrease by 0.3 to 0.4 pH units by the end of the century (Caldeira and Wickett, 2003).

In the Mediterranean Sea, the level of acidification is still poorly known, but certain characteristics of this semi-enclosed sea makes it especially sensitive to increasing atmospheric CO2 (Calvo et al., 2011). On one hand, the high levels of total alkalinity (Schneider et al., 2007) increase its capacity to absorb large amounts of anthropogenic CO2 compared with the open ocean (Goyet et al., 2009). On the other hand, the shorter residence time of deep waters (Bethoux et al., 2005) implies a more rapid penetration of anthropogenic CO2. In agreement with the expected outcome of these characteristics, a first estimate indicates a pH decrease of up to 0.14 units since the pre-industrial era affecting the entire water column, with the largest effects observed in the western Mediterranean basin (Touratier and Goyet, 2011). This change is larger than the mean decrease of ~0.10 pH for surface waters of the world’s oceans over this period (Orr et al., 2005). Thus, the Mediterranean Sea seems to be among the world regions that are being most rapidly impacted by acidification. In this environmental framework, already under pressure by other anthropogenic stressors [e.g. (Calvo et al., 2011)], it is essential to evaluate the potential consequences of acidification on marine organisms.

Experimental studies examining the effects of ocean acidification on the growth of calcifying organisms have revealed a wide variety of sensitivity degrees within and among species [reviewed in (Doney et al., 2009; Guinotte and Fabry, 2008; Ries et al., 2009)]. Coral reef communities have
been extensively studied because their calcifying organisms may be severely affected by the projected pH levels. The observed experimental effects of ocean acidification on most tropical shallow water corals have shown a reduction in calcification rate (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007). Some species, however, have been shown to calcify even under very low saturation state conditions (Jury et al., 2010; Krief et al., 2010; Ries et al., 2010), pointing to the existence of different mechanisms controlling the carbonate chemistry at their sites of calcification (Ries, 2011) and references therein). Nevertheless, an overall reduction in calcification rate of the corals is expected as a result of ocean acidification. This decrease in calcification would increase the susceptibility of coral reefs to erosion which, together with the thermal stress caused by global warming, might lead to changes in their community structure and resilience (Fabricius et al., 2011). Moreover, acidification will interact with other factors affecting coral reef communities. In this sense, coral calcification has been shown to exhibit different responses upon the interaction between high pCO2 and high temperature (Muehllehner, 2008; Reynaud et al., 2003; Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2010), nutrient enrichment (Ferrier-Pagés et al., 2000; Holcomb et al., 2010, 2012; Marubini and Atkinson, 1999; Renegar and Rieg, 2005), food supply (Edmunds, 2011), and light conditions (Marubini et al., 2001).

In contrast to the attention devoted to tropical coral species, thus far only three studies have been performed on the effects of ocean acidification on temperate Mediterranean corals. These studies used different experimental approaches. First, Fine and Tchernov (2007) exposed Oculina patagonica and Madracis pharencis to low pH (7.4 units) in aquaria, which caused the complete dissolution of the skeleton. The second study combined short (1 month) and long (1 year) exposure of C. caespitosa to low pH (7.4 units) in experimental aquaria and found no detrimental effects on calcification rate of the colonies (Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2010). In the third study, the transplantation of C. caespitosa corals to a gradient of naturally acidified areas close to CO2 vents (pH level range between 8.1 and 7.5) showed evidence of dissolution (Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2011). This suggested that the effects of acidification on these species may show up below a certain threshold of pH decrease. The same study did not find evidence of dissolution in Balanophyllia europaea corals exposed to the same gradient of natural acidification.

In the Mediterranean, C. caespitosa Linnaeus, 1767 and O. patagonica De Angelis, 1908 are the only two zooxanthellate coral species that, under some circumstances, can constitute the main framework of the shallow infralittoral community (Kružić and Benković, 2008; Serrano et al., 2012). C. caespitosa is a widespread endemic species (Zibrowius, 1980) which has recently been severely affected by mass mortality events (Garrabou et al., 2009; Lejeusne et al., 2010; Perez, T. et al., 2000). In contrast, O. patagonica is an alien species (Zibrowius, 1974), which is actually experiencing an increase in distribution and abundance throughout the Mediterranean (Coma et al., 2011; Fine et al., 2001; Sartoretto, 2008; Serrano et al., submitted for publication). In this study, we investigated the effects of, and recovery responses to, ocean acidification in both coral species, by simulating the future pH conditions projected by the end of the century. The results provide new information on biological responses and resilience to low pH conditions for the native C. caespitosa and the alien O. patagonica coral species in the Mediterranean Sea.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Specimen collection and preparation

Ten widely separated colonies from each of the two coral species, C. caespitosa and O. patagonica, were collected by scuba divers at 3–6 m depth in L’Ampolla (NE Spain, 40°48’N, 0°42’E) in April 2009. At the sampling area, located 10 km north of the Ebro River Delta (northernwestern Mediterranean Sea), both species are broadly distributed in the shallow rocky infralittoral. Seawater temperature and light measurements from the area were obtained using Onset Stow Away data-loggers set up to register data at 1 h intervals over a full year cycle. The loggers were regularly either cleaned or replaced by scuba divers to prevent bio-fouling and for data downloading. The environmental conditions of the area are characterized by a marked seasonality, with temperatures ranging from 12 °C in winter to 27 °C in summer, and often with low irradiance due to the high turbidity of the water.

The collected specimens were placed immediately in large seawater containers and transported to the Experimental Aquarium Zone (ZAE) at the Institute of Marine Sciences (ICM) in Barcelona. Colonies were placed in a 225 L acrylic tank with 50 μm filtered running seawater (pumped from 300 m offshore, 10 m depth, in front of the ICM). Temperature (14.5 °C) and light conditions (~50 μmol photons m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup> on a 12:12 light:dark cycle) were chosen to simulate those at the collection site.

Five nubbins (12 ± 5 polyps) were harvested from each of the 10 collected colonies from each species, carefully cleaned of encrusting organisms and sediment and glued onto labeled methacrylate holders with an inert mastic compound. The buoyant weight of each nubbin was carefully measured before gluing to be able to subtract the holder and glue weight from the total weight measurements (see below). Temperature at the acclimation tank was increased gradually (0.4 °C per day) up to 20 °C (simulating mean summer conditions at the area of collection) over a two week period and maintained for one further week before the beginning of the experiment.

2.2. Experimental setup and carbonate system manipulation

We implemented a pH-manipulative experimental system following the experimental design described by Reynaud et al. (2003) (Fig. 1). Seawater was continuously supplied to two 150 L tanks and pH was adjusted to values of ~8.09 and ~7.83 (total scale) simulating, respectively, current and future pH levels predicted for year 2100 following A2 IPCC SRES (Plattner et al., 2008). These pH levels correspond to Mediterranean seawater in equilibrium with an atmosphere of ~390 ppm CO2 for the high pH condition, and ~800 ppm CO2 for the low pH treatment (Table 1). In the two large tanks, we bubbled CO2 (99.9% purity) or CO2-free air (using a home-made filter filled with soda lime, Sigma Aldrich) to either reduce or increase pH, respectively. Seawater pH was monitored continuously by glass electrodes (Li Evotrode plus—Metrohm) connected to a pH controller (Consort R305, Topac Inc., USA), which automatically opened and closed the solenoid valves of CO2 or CO2-free air when needed. To avoid drifts in the pH measurements, glass electrodes were calibrated on a daily basis with a Tris buffer, following standard procedures (SOP6a of Dickson et al., 2007). Water from every large tank was continuously transferred to two replicate 25 L methacrylate experimental aquaria where the corals were maintained. Seawater renewal rate in these aquaria was 10 times per day, and seawater was continuously mixed with HYDOR Koralia pumps (4.5 W, 1500 L h<sup>−1</sup>). The aquaria were covered with a methacrylate wrap to reduce evaporation and minimize surface-air gas exchange. Two HQI-lamps (T5 ATI Aquablue Special 4×24 W), running on a 12:12 light:dark cycle, were adjusted to the required irradiance with a plastic grey mesh. Irradiance was measured using a Li-COR underwater spherical quantum sensor (Li-193SB; Lincoln, NE, USA) and adjusted to ~95 μmol photons m<sup>−2</sup> s<sup>−1</sup> equivalent to the mean daylight irradiance at 5 m depth in June at the area of collection, when mean water temperature is 20 °C (Onset Stow Away data-loggers). The pH-manipulative experimental set-up was installed inside a thermostated room, ensuring constant values (~20 °C) during the whole experiment. Once a week, fresh Artemia salina nauplii (20 mg dry weight per coral fragment) were supplied by closing the seawater flow-through for 4 h to ensure a proper feeding.

Forty-eight out of the fifty initial nubbins for each species were distributed in the four aquaria, such that at least there was one representative from each colony in each aquarium. During the first week of the
In addition, temperature and salinity in the four experimental tanks were measured every 2–3 days, using an YSI-30 M/10FT probe. Small volumes of water were also taken from the tanks (once a week during the first month and twice a month for the rest of the experiment) to analyze total alkalinity (TA) by potentiometric titration (Perez, F.F., et al., 2000; Perez and Fraga, 1987) and pH using spectrophotometry (Clayton and Byrne, 1993), which provides better precision than with electrodes. TA and pH (always reported on total scale) were used to calculate dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), carbonate ion concentration ([CO$_3^{2-}$]), bicarbonate ion concentration ([HCO$_3^-$]), dissolved CO$_2$, aragonite saturation state ($\Omega_A$) and atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration in equilibrium, using the CO2calc software (Robbins et al., 2010), with dissociation constants for carbonate determined by Mehrbach et al. (1973) and refit by Dickson and

### Table 1

Parameters of the seawater carbonate system in each treatment. Total alkalinity, pH$_t$, salinity and temperature were used to calculate all the other parameters using the CO2calc software (USGS). For the four measured parameters we report the values as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and range (in brackets). All other calculated parameters are expressed as mean ± SD. n = 12 and 6 for the control and high-CO$_2$ treatment, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>pH$_t$</th>
<th>TA</th>
<th>Sal</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>8.092 ± 0.008</td>
<td>2534 ± 11</td>
<td>37.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>20.0 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(8.070–8.100)</td>
<td>(2520–2550)</td>
<td>(37.0–37.7)</td>
<td>(19.1–21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-CO$_2$</td>
<td>7.830 ± 0.021</td>
<td>2539 ± 9</td>
<td>37.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>19.8 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(7.807–7.862)</td>
<td>(2525–2550)</td>
<td>(37.0–37.5)</td>
<td>(19.5–20.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>pCO$_2$</th>
<th>$\chi$CO$_2$</th>
<th>DIC</th>
<th>[CO$<em>3^{2-}$]$</em>{aq}$</th>
<th>[HCO$_3^-$]</th>
<th>[CO$_2$]</th>
<th>$\Omega_A$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>381 ± 9</td>
<td>390 ± 9</td>
<td>2211 ± 15</td>
<td>12.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1966 ± 20</td>
<td>232 ± 6</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800 ± 40</td>
<td>800 ± 40</td>
<td>2362 ± 14</td>
<td>25 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2197 ± 18</td>
<td>140 ± 7</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-CO$_2$</td>
<td>780 ± 40</td>
<td>800 ± 40</td>
<td>2362 ± 14</td>
<td>25 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2197 ± 18</td>
<td>140 ± 7</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“pH$_t$” = pH in total scale; “TA” = total alkalinity (μmol/kg-SW); “Sal” = salinity; “T” = temperature (°C); “pCO$_2$” = partial pressure of CO$_2$ of air in equilibrium with seawater (ppm); “$\chi$CO$_2$” = mole fraction of CO$_2$ in dry air (ppm); “DIC” = dissolved inorganic carbon (μmol/kg-SW); “[CO$_3^{2-}$]$_{aq}$” = CO$_2$ concentration in seawater (μmol/kg-SW); “[HCO$_3^-$]” = bicarbonate ion concentration (μmol/kg-SW); “[CO$_2$]” = carbonate ion concentration (μmol/kg-SW); “$\Omega_A$” = saturation state of seawater with respect to aragonite.
### 2.4. Calcification rate

Changes in coral calcification were assessed from measurements of buoyant weight (Davies, 1989; Jokiel et al., 1978), using a 0.1 mg resolution balance (Mettler Toledo AB204 SFAC1). Measurements during the acidification experiment were performed on days 15, 36, 49 and 92. A last weighing was performed at the end of the recovery experiment, on day 216. Before each measurement, epiphytes were carefully removed with a soft brush from all holders to avoid the presence of micro bubbles that could alter the weight of the organisms. Since the buoyant and dry weights are linearly correlated (with the regression passing through the origin), making percent-changes in both weights equivalent (Ries et al., 2009, 2010), in this work, we calculated the calcification rate directly from buoyant weight data. To this end, we normalized the net buoyant weight of the corals (total coral weight minus the coral holder and glue) to their initial mass. Growth rate (G) is expressed as mg of mass increase per gram of initial weight per day, taking as a reference the initial day of each period (day 0 in the acidification section of the experiment and day 92 in the recovery stage).

### 2.5. Density of symbionts

Coral tissue was removed from the skeleton of five nubbins from each species at the beginning of the acidification experiment and after the exposure to the control and low pH treatment conditions by means of a jet of re-circulated filtered seawater using an oral irrigator (WaterpikTM). The resulting slurry was homogenized with a glass pestle and the volume of the homogenate was recorded (~10 mL). Density of symbiotic dinoflagellates was determined by using 5 replicate counts on a haemocytometer (Neubauer chamber) using a Zeiss standard microscope. Algal size was recorded for 15–20 cells in each sample. After correcting for homogenate volume, the density of symbiotic dinoflagellates was normalized to skeletal surface area, which was calculated by using the aluminium foil technique (Marsh, 1970).

### 2.6. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) images of coral skeletons

Only samples of C. caespitosa were analyzed by Scanning Electron Microscope. Three nubbins were randomly selected from each treatment and covered with a thin layer of gold-palladium (<200 Å) for morphology and microstructure SEM observations. A SEM Hitachi S3500N, working at 5 kV, was used. Observations focused on the amount and size of the septal flank spines.

### 2.7. Statistical analyses

The effect of both experiments (i.e., the acidification experiment - conducted over the first 92 days-, and the recovery experiment - conducted from day 92 to day 216) was examined separately for each species. For the acidification experiment, a two-way nested ANOVA was used for each species to examine whether calcification rate varied between treatment (i.e., exposure to low pH conditions and exposure to current pH conditions) and aquaria. Aquarium was also used to examine whether calcification rate varied between both species and aquaria in control conditions after 92 days of experiment. For the recovery experiment, a two-way nested ANOVA was used for each species to examine whether calcification rate varied between treatment (i.e., exposure to current pH conditions of colonies previously exposed to low pH and exposure to current pH conditions of colonies previously exposed to current pH conditions) and aquaria. Aquarium was considered as a random factor nested within treatment. Normality (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test) and heterocedasticity (Cochran’s test) of both species growth results are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SE). Non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis ANOVA was used to examine differences between both treatments from the acidification experiment in the abundance and size of symbionts. These statistical analyses were performed using the software package Statistica 6.0 (StatSoft, Inc. 2001).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Seawater chemistry

Our experimental set-up allowed a precise adjustment of the selected pH conditions, which were maintained during the first three months at 8.09 ± 0.01 and 7.83 ± 0.02 pH units for the control and acidified pH treatment, respectively (Table 1; Fig. 2). Total alkalinity values remained constant in both treatments (2534 ± 11 and 2539 ± 9 μmol kg⁻¹ for the control and acidified pH treatment, respectively) throughout the entire experiment. The average calculated Ω scripts and γ_CO₂ (mole fraction of CO₂ in dry air) for the control pH treatment were 3.6 and 390 ppm, respectively. In the acidified treatment, these values changed to 2.1 and 800 ppm, respectively. Average values of other parameters of the carbonate system are summarized in Table 1. Temperature and salinity were constant throughout the whole experiment (20.0 ± 0.6 °C and 37.4 ± 0.2, respectively).

#### 3.2. Effects of low pH on coral calcification rates

Significant differences were observed between the calcification rates of the two species reared under control conditions after 92 days of experiment (Table 2), being 37% higher in O. patagonica than in
C. caespitosa (Fig. 3a). The effect of the low pH treatment was similar; both species showed a significant decrease in average calcification rate compared to control conditions: 32% lower for O. patagonica and 35% lower for C. caespitosa (Table 2, Fig. 3a). At the end of the acidification period the decrease in skeletal growth rate exhibited by both species was similar and no significant differences were observed between them (Table 2). The survivorship in each treatment was 100% and no tank effect was detected between aquaria replicates of the same treatment in any analysis.

On the basis of the absence of differences between duplicate aquaria, we calculated the effect of low pH on the skeletal growth (difference in growth between the control and the low pH treatments) of each of the 10 distinct coral colonies used in the experiments. As previously described, each colony was split in five and distributed among the 2 treatments (4 aquaria). A one-way ANOVA was performed to examine whether the effect of exposure to low pH differed between the two species. We observed that the decrease in calcification rates at the end of the acidification experiment was similar for both species (Table 2). However, when the mean growth reduction of each colony from both species in the acidified experiment (after 92 days) was compared with the average growth rate of the same colony in control conditions (Fig. 4), an interesting pattern arose: colonies exhibiting faster growth rates were more affected by the decreased pH.

The difference in O. patagonica calcification between the treatment and control exposure exhibited a large spread of responses among the colonies during the first month, which later progressively diminished (i.e., there was a reduction of the variance along the length of the experiment, Fig. 5). The previously observed pattern of a larger detrimental effect of acidification on coral colonies that grew faster (Fig. 4) is contributing to this trend, because it causes an attenuation of the differences between the growth rate of the colonies over time. Although the same effect is observed in C. caespitosa (Fig. 4), the slow growth rate of this coral species prevents measurement of a clear reduction of the variance over time (Fig. 5). This observation highlights the importance of running experiments long enough to assess more realistically the effect of these environmental perturbations.

During the recovery experiment, the nubbins of O. patagonica and C. caespitosa grown under low pH conditions gradually recovered after being returned to the current pH conditions of the control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F-ratio</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of growth in control conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquarium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of low pH in O. patagonica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>0.0192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquarium (treatment)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of low pH in C. caespitosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>0.0113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquarium (treatment)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of low pH effect on both species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery in O. patagonica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquarium (treatment)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recovery in C. caespitosa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquarium (treatment)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of recovery potential on both species</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 3. Skeletal growth rates of O. patagonica and C. caespitosa after the first three months under the two pH treatments (A) and during the following four months when the acidified treatment was progressively basified to match the control pH (B). Black and grey bars represent the growth data corresponding to control (~8.09 pH units) and treatment (~7.83 pH units during the first three months, brought to ~8.09 pH units during the following four months), respectively (n=24, mean±SE). Growth rates are expressed as mg CaCO3 per gram of initial weight per day, taking as a reference the initial day of each stage (day 0 in (A) and day 92 in (B)).

Fig 4. Correlation between the average growth rate of colonies in the control pH and the difference in growth between these colonies in the acidified and the control conditions, corresponding to C. caespitosa (solid line, grey dots) and O. patagonica (dashed line, white dots). Linear regressions are statistically significant in both cases (O. patagonica b=−0.57; R²=0.78; p=0.0007; n=10 and C. caespitosa b=−0.48; R²=0.75; p=0.0011; n=10).
treatment. At the end of the recovery experiment (216 days), no significant differences in overall mean calcification rate were detected between the nubbins in control and those in recovered conditions for both species (Table 2, Fig. 3b). The recovery potential showed by both species was similar and no significant differences were detected between them (Table 2). Encouraged by the absence of aquaria effect, we then calculated the difference in skeletal growth between the control and recovery treatments for each of the 10 distinct coral colonies used in the experiment. At the end of the recovery experiment (216 days), we did not observe a significant variation in skeletal growth rate of the treatment colonies with respect to control between both species. In addition, the recovery experiment did not exhibit any significant relationship between the average calcification rate of each colony exposed to the recovery treatment and that of the same colony exposed to the control conditions (R² = 0.29, p = 0.11 in O. patagonica and R² = 0.34, p = 0.08 in C. caespitosa; n = 10), that is, growth rate under control conditions did not predict growth rate under recovery conditions for a given colony.

3.3. Density of symbionts

The initial abundance of zooxanthellae was about 2-fold higher in O. patagonica (8.39 ± 6.23 × 10⁶ cells cm⁻²; mean ± SD) than in C. caespitosa (4.85 ± 2.46 × 10⁶ cells cm⁻²; mean ± SD; Fig. 6a; Kruskal–Wallis, p = 0.03). In contrast, zooxanthellae were on average half a micron smaller in O. patagonica (6.8 ± 0.4 μm; mean ± SD) than in C. caespitosa (7.5 ± 0.6 μm; mean ± SD; Fig. 6b; Kruskal–Wallis, p = 0.05). Zooxanthellae density (O. patagonica, Kruskal–Wallis, p = 0.518; C. caespitosa, Kruskal–Wallis, p = 0.304), and cell size (O. patagonica, Kruskal–Wallis, p = 0.338; C. caespitosa, Kruskal–Wallis, p = 0.395) did not differ between treatments (control and acidified) for either species, indicating that zooxanthellae in the two Mediterranean coral species were not affected by the level of acidification to which they were subjected.

3.4. Microimaging of coral skeleton

SEM observations of C. caespitosa nubbins revealed no clear differences in the skeletal morphology and microstructure between treatments (Fig. 7). At a gross morphological level, slight differences were found in the appearance of the distal tips of the septa (thinner in nubbins grown under lower pH conditions) as well as on the number and size of the septal flank spines. However, at a much higher magnification, no differences were apparent in the size and arrangement of the microcrystalline units, and different fiber crystallization patterns were observed within the same corallite regardless of the treatment.

4. Discussion

4.1. Effects of acidification on corals from the Mediterranean Sea

During the first stage of the experiment, colonies of O. patagonica and C. caespitosa reared in the low pH treatment (pH 7.83), suffered a decrease in calcification rates of 32 to 35% compared with colonies maintained in control conditions (pH 8.09; Fig. 3). Our experiment thus exhibited the expected result of detrimental effects on coral calcification. Previous experiments with Mediterranean corals testing the effect of acidification on calcification rates have revealed different responses, pointing to some complexity in the effect of low pH on temperate corals. Our results are consistent with those of the only experiment reported to date with O. patagonica and M. pharensis (Fine and Tchernov, 2007). In that study, O. patagonica reared in aquaria under different pH treatments, showed a dissociation of the colony form and complete skeleton dissolution when exposed to seawater pH of 7.3–7.6. However, differences in the low pH treatment between Fine and Tchernov’s (2007) study and ours are substantial, preventing an exact comparison of both works. First, the pH in the acidified treatment was lower in the earlier study (pH ~7.4) than in our experiment (pH ~7.83), in which our goal was to mimic realistic projections for the year 2100. In addition, the pH adjustment in Fine and Tchernov’s (2007) experiment was performed by adding HCl (reducing alkalinity) whereas, in our case, we bubbled CO₂ (maintaining alkalinity constant), a method that provides a more realistic approach. In any case, despite the differences between the studies, our results, together with those from Fine and Tchernov (2007), show decreased calcification rate of
O. patagonica at lower pH and $\Omega_A$ in seawater. In contrast, results for a temperate coral species from the same genera ($O. arbuscula$, not present in the Mediterranean), showed a nonlinear response of calcification rates to CO2-induced ocean acidification (Ries et al., 2010) by exhibiting no changes until $\Omega_A$ was reduced to 0.8 (equivalent to a pH of 7.48). These results suggest a greater resistance to low pH of $O. arbuscula$ in comparison to $O. patagonica$ as reported by Fine and Tchernov (2007) and our study.

Regarding the endemic Mediterranean species, a previous study by Rodolfo-Metalpa et al. (2010) exhibited no significant difference in calcification rate of $C. caespitosa$ when reared in aquaria under elevated pCO2 (700 ppm equivalent to ~7.88 pH), pointing to a lower sensitivity of this temperate coral species to ocean acidification. However, further work with $C. caespitosa$ and Balanophyllia europaea grown under the influence of natural high pCO2 vents (Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2011), showed that, whereas net calcification in $B. europaea$ remained positive even at pH 7.3, net calcification rates of $C. caespitosa$ became negative at pH 7.5. According to these authors, the presence of a protective external organic layer, a condition that has also been documented to modulate the effects of ocean acidification in corals and other organisms (Ries et al., 2009), could explain the observed differences between the two species. In those corals under the influence of CO2 vents, $C. caespitosa$ presented large parts of the skeleton exposed with evident marks of dissolution, while $B. europaea$ skeletons remained completely covered by tissue. In our experiment, the skeletons of $C. caespitosa$ corals maintained a full organic coverage of the polyps and the coenosarc, thus preventing the direct exposure of the skeleton to the surrounding seawater.

Concerning possible skeletal microstructural differences between treatments, our SEM images of $C. caespitosa$ reared under low pH conditions revealed no obvious evidence of localized dissolution, in line with the results reported by Ries et al. (2010) with $O. arbuscula$. No clear differences in skeletal microstructure between treatments were detected at high magnification (Fig. 7e, f). Only slight differences were observed at a lower magnification; distal ends of some of the septa displayed a thinner and sharper appearance in the colonies reared under acidic conditions, while the size of the septal dentation was apparently smaller (Fig. 7a-d). The lack of evidences for dissolution (e.g. disordered aragonite crystals) is probably related to the fact that, over the course of the study, the skeleton of $C. caespitosa$ was never exposed to the corrosive effects of aragonite-undersaturated waters. This suggests that the decrease in net calcification observed in our experiment was more related to decreased calcification rate than to dissolution.

Temperature conditions represent an important difference between our study and that of Rodolfo-Metalpa et al. (2010), in which no decrease in calcification was observed in $C. caespitosa$ under acidified conditions (7.88 pH). Our experiment was conducted at a constant
temperature (~20 °C), whereas Rodolfo-Metalpa et al. (2010) explored possible responses following an annual natural temperature cycle. In our case, we chose to focus our experiment in the warming season, the time of the year when C. caespitosa exhibits most of its growth, while in winter its metabolism is reduced to a minimum (Montagna et al., 2007; Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2010). The increase in atmospheric CO2 is also causing global seawater warming, which in the Mediterranean Sea has been shown to be responsible of a significant lengthening of summer conditions (Coma et al., 2009). Up to a certain threshold, this trend should favor calcification of the studied species. Nevertheless, as shown by our experiment, acidification may counterbalance the positive effects on calcification of sea water warming.

4.2. Acidification and energetically costly calcification

The ability to elevate pH and [CO2] at the site of calcification is an energy demanding process (Al-Horani et al., 2003; Cohen and Holcomb, 2009). Under lowered-pH conditions, this process needs more energy, which could otherwise be devoted to other activities, such as locomotion, reproduction, tissue growth or to counteract other environmental stresses (Brewer and Peltzer, 2009; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007). This is consistent with the fact that feeding rate and nutrient availability are also variables that have been shown to modulate the effects of acidification on coral growth and calcification. Several studies with tropical species have shown that enhanced heterotrophic feeding and inorganic nutrient enrichment help to counteract the negative impacts of acidification on calcification and photosynthesis [e.g. (Chauvin et al., 2011; Edmunds, 2011; Houblôbreque, 2004; Langdon and Atkinson, 2005)]. Regarding temperate corals, Holcomb et al. (2010, 2012) observed that Astrangia poculata exhibited a sharp decline in calcification under low pH and normal nutrient concentration, whereas no significant differences were found under enhanced nutrient concentrations or high feeding rates, indicating that a supplementary diet might partly compensate the energy demand of calcifiers growing in a more corrosive ambient.

In our experiment, food supply consisted of Artemia nauplii once a week. By comparison, feeding rates in previous works with O. patagonica and C. caespitosa were higher. In the case of Ries et al. (2010), Artemia sp. was added every other day and, in the other studies, corals were naturally fed with unfiltered seawater in the aquaria (Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2010), or kept at natural sea input conditions (Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2011). Therefore, the greater sensitivity observed in our study to acidic conditions might also be related to a lower energy availability caused by less frequent feeding in comparison to previous experiments. The design of our experiment may be relevant, as global warming will very likely induce an increase in water stratification with a consequent diminishment of nutrient and plankton availability in surface waters (Coma et al., 2009; Doney et al., 2009), which could lend coral communities to be more vulnerable than previously thought to global environmental pressures.

Overall, our study focused on the time period and conditions during which acidification may exert its largest effect (warm temperatures and low food supply characteristic of summer conditions). Our results also agree with the fact that C. caespitosa (but also B. europaea), were not found in nature below pH 7.8 (Rodolfo-Metalpa et al., 2011) which suggests that long term exposure to these conditions is detrimental to the development of the species and could be related to the high metabolic cost of maintaining a high pH at the site of calcification (Cohen and Holcomb, 2009) in an oligotrophic environment such as the Mediterranean.

4.3. Effect of nubbin parent colony on response to low pH

High natural variability in coral growth has been documented as a result of several factors such as size, seasonal cycle, health status, gender and/or genetic variation (Buddemeier and Kinzie, 1976). Since all colonies had approximately the same size, were collected simultaneously and temperature was kept constant during the whole experiment, size and possible seasonal factors can be discarded. The absence of dead nubbins and the healthy appearance of the tissue (always covering the entire skeleton and with a zooxanthellae density and size comparable between colonies from both treatments, Fig. 6a, b) also suggest that differences in health did not modulate natural variability in growth. A recent study has reported a similar correlation in the temperate coral A. poculata (Holcomb et al., 2012), with fast growers being the more affected by a lowering in pH. In that case, intercolonial growth variability was related to gender, with a larger effect of acidification in females during the spawning season, and little or no effect on non-breeding males and females. In our experiment, the gender of the colonies was not determined, so we cannot discount such an effect in modulating coral growth. However, as mentioned above, gender is just one among the many factors contributing to the high natural variability commonly observed (Buddemeier and Kinzie, 1976).

Given the unlikeliness of strong influences from most of the factors discussed above, we suggest that intraspecific genetic variability could be key in explaining the wide variety of responses observed in our studied coral colonies. Thus, coral colonies that have a genetically-determined ability to grow rapidly will be more sensitive to a lowering in pH. Corals that grow faster should also have greater energetic requirements for modulating pH at the site of calcification and, when subjected to more corrosive conditions, may be the first to exhibit a negative response to this pressure. Similar responses have been pointed out by other studies comparing several species. Rodolfo-Metalpa et al. (2010), for instance, discussed on this possibility when comparing tropical vs temperate corals, highlighting that the faster growing tropical species may have more requirements in terms of enhanced saturation state and concentration of carbonate ion to maintain their high rates of calcification. More recently, Jokiel (2011) and Edmunds et al. (2012) also noted a stronger reduction in calcification in the more rapidly growing tropical coral species. In the framework of the proton flux hypothesis proposed in the Jokiel’s (2011) study, this was interpreted as reflecting the need to dissipate a larger flux of protons through the boundary layer in the fast growing species. Our experimental results suggest that analogous energy consuming constraints may also modulate the effects of ocean acidification on different colonies from a single coral species.

4.4. Potential for recovery from ocean acidification

Based on data from the recovery experiment, when more acidic conditions were brought progressively back to current pH, our study provides insight on the potential for recovery of both coral species following events of acidification. Given the existence of natural oscillations in seawater pH at different timescales both in the open ocean and around coral calcifying communities ([Pelejero et al., 2010] and references therein), our recovery experiment could also be taken as an analog for these naturally occurring transitions. A similar study performed on O. patagonica showed rapid recovery after increasing pH to control conditions (Fine and Tchernov, 2007), but this is the first attempt to assess such recovery potential in an endemic Mediterranean species such as C. caespitosa. Interestingly, this endemic species showed a recovery very similar to that of the alien species O. patagonica. Furthermore, and in contrast to the acidification experiment, in which the colonies that grew faster were most affected by acidification (Fig. 4), no trend was detected through the recovery stage, so the recovery took place independent of colony growth.

The recovery potential shown by O. patagonica in this experiment is in agreement with the only study published so far evaluating the resilience of this species after an acidification event (Fine and Tchernov, 2007). These authors observed that despite the complete skeleton dissolution of the O. patagonica polyps, they maintained
their symbionts and normal gametogenesis during the low pH treatment. When transferred back to ambient pH conditions, colonies reformed, showing high plasticity and acclimation capacity. A decrease in the growth rate under acidic conditions and a subsequent recovery after return to normal conditions has also been observed in tropical corals (Marubini and Atkinson, 1999). This supports the existence of certain degrees of reversibility in the effects of ocean acidification in corals, if levels of pH rise back to normal conditions. There is the possibility that this capacity to recover is a result of evolutionary adaptation to the natural oscillations in seawater chemistry that are ubiquitous in the oceans.

As mentioned, natural pH fluctuations are commonly experienced by upper oceanic waters, particularly within coral reefs or shallow areas dominated by marine calcifiers (Pelejero et al., 2010) and references therein. It is thus conceivable that, during the early stages of anthropogenic ocean acidification, similar natural cycles will be superimposed on the general trend of decreasing pH. In this sense, the observed capacity of these species to recover in the framework of natural cycles would probably mitigate the effects of acidification in corals, which will benefit intermittently from periods when pH will be brought back towards the more basic conditions that they prefer. However, as global ocean acidification evolves, these reinvigorating periods for corals will become shorter and shorter, eventually disappearing as the full range of pH changes shifts away from preindustrial values [e.g. (Friedrich et al., 2012)]. Even though the resilience showed by certain species of corals could improve their survival capacity for the coming years, this could be counterbalanced by the combined effect of ocean acidification and other global and regional pressures such as warming, overfishing, high sedimentation rates (due to land use changes) and nutrient enrichment in coastal areas, all leading to a future that will likely be bleak for corals [e.g. (Hoegh-Guldberg, 2012) and references therein].

5. Conclusions

Our data from pH-manipulative experiments show a high sensitivity of O. patagonica and C. caespitosa to near future acidification in the Mediterranean Sea. A low pH-driven decrease of up to 35% was detected in the calcification rates of both species compared to control conditions. In contrast, acidification caused no apparent effect on coral-associated zoanthellae or in the coral skeleton microstructure. We also found a high intraspecific variability in the response to acidification among different colonies, with the fastest growing organisms displaying the greatest sensitivity. This suggests an important role for energy consuming constraints in modulating the effects of ocean acidification in corals. In addition, these results highlight the importance of future studies targeting genetic variability to better understand the observed differential intercolonial response.

This study also assesses, for the first time, the recovery potential of an endemic Mediterranean coral species after an acidification event, with results that suggest a gradual recovery similar to that of the alien species used as comparison. This points towards a certain degree of acclimation capacity of these species to periodic pH oscillations, although the energy demands could eventually be detrimental to the organism’s ability to fulfill other important physiological or reproductive processes. Considering that the projected progressive warming of seawater might lead to higher metabolism rates and lower prey availability due to longer stratification periods, the survival threshold of these coral species could be exceeded sooner than expected under the influence of single stressors.
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